

## HONOR COMMITTEE MEETING

October 13, 2019

- I. **ROLL CALL**
- II. **COMMUNITY INPUT**
- III. **OFFICER REPORTS**
  - A. **Vice Chair for Community Relations** (Lucy Krasker)
    - 1. No new updates
  - B. **Vice Chair for Education** (Mary Beth Barksdale)
    - 1. Need event plans for school popular assembly events ASAP. Need invites for faculty for popular assembly events this week. Tentative schedule: Nov 6 4pm-6pm South Lawn meet and greet, Nov 7 6pm-8pm debate with Jefferson Society and Washington Society, Nov 8 6pm-8pm faculty dinner with Sherri Moore as keynote speaker, Nov 9 social media push, Nov 10 open Honor Committee meeting
  - C. **Vice Chair for Investigations** (Sally Greenberg)
    - 1. No new updates.
  - D. **Vice Chair for Hearings** (Alex Spratley)
    - 1. No new updates.
  - E. **Chair** (Lillie Lyon)
    - 1. WUVA video completed. Will be shown soon.
- IV. **SUBCOMMITTEE & WORKING GROUP REPORTS**
  - A. **Policies & Procedures Subcommittee** (Committee Co-Chair: Stephen Paul)
  - B. **Faculty & TA Advisory Committee** (Committee Co-Chair: Lucian Mirra)
    - 1. Faculty one-pager reviewed and finishing up for distribution.
  - C. **Investigative Procedures Working Group** (Chair: Sally Greenberg)
  - D. **Joint Cases Working Group** (Co-Chairs: Elizabeth Thompson and Todd Truesdale)
    - 1. Formulating preliminary plans and issues for review.
- V. **REPRESENTATIVE REPORTS**
  - A. ARCH: Scheduled meeting with dean Ila Berman.
  - B. BATT: Meeting with new dean Ian Solomon, forming plans for education/outreach.
  - C. CLAS: Faculty meeting coming up this Friday. COLA presentations wrapping up.
  - D. COMM: Finished push for COMM midterms, reaching out to new faculty.
  - E. GBUS: No updates.
  - F. EDUC: Coming up with faculty survey and plans for events in popular assembly.
  - G. GSAS: Council meeting coming up soon.
  - H. LAW: No updates.
  - I. MED: No updates.
  - J. NURS: Upcoming Honor lunch event for school.

- K. SCPS: No updates.
- L. SEAS: Intro engineering class talks scheduled.

## VI. OLD BUSINESS

### A. Internal Disclosure Policy (Conceptual Discussion)

1. Chair: proposed policy would be a change to the code of ethics and not a change to by-laws – could be referred to Standards Panel. Reviewed summary of discussion from past meeting. Should Honor follow ODOS policy in disclosure of outside crimes and arrests (all crimes except minor traffic violations not involving arrest or conviction)? Also, which people in Honor would receive disclosures e.g. Exec, Standards, combination of both, Special Advisor, legal counsel, or other?
2. GSAS AH supports bringing disclosures to special advisor or legal advisor. VCI in favor of Exec since these disclosures might have to go through to them anyways, since it may undermine student-run system.
3. VCH proposes that legal advisor should not adjudicate these disclosures since she is primarily used for legal opinions and advice on questions, rather than making decisions.
4. Questions from MED KB and COMM JS about role of Standards Panel and what it should be adjudicating – code of ethics for SOs and Committee members.
5. SCPS LM prefers that disclosures should not go to Exec instead of Standards since Standards already seems to have jurisdiction over these issues. Also, Standards already has members of Exec on it. Is it an issue that those members would have already made some kind of judgement on suspending someone from Honor based on the initial disclosure? Also, potential issues with Title IX and confidentiality in disclosing evidence.
6. VCH would prefer that Standards should not have access to information on internal disclosures until absolutely necessary for making a judgement on suspension, should limit how many people know.
7. NURS CD proposes that internal disclosure initially goes to Chair and Special Advisor to limit circle of confidentiality and who gets to know about details of these cases. VCH and VCE proposes that internal disclosures go to a member of Exec and Special Advisor, beyond just Chair.
8. Discussion of whether a Committee member in this process should be allowed to still come to meetings or vote. Committee members and support officers should have 5 days to disclose, with reasonable extension.
9. Standards should be the body to deal with students after external body passes a judgement i.e. found guilty or not guilty in external adjudication process. SCPS LM thinks that we should not re-adjudicate if a student is found not guilty or not responsible by other processes, since it may risk double jeopardy. VCH and VCI do not believe that it would be double jeopardy, asking different question - given what we know now, is that

person fit to continue serving in Honor? Chair says we don't want to preclude additional review of other information or scenarios that may come up during these processes.

**VII. NEW BUSINESS**

**VIII. COMMUNITY INPUT**

**IX. CLOSED SESSION**