

## Exec Updates

Isabelle: Ordering Common Read copies! Pls fill out form if you haven't

Madhav: Creating some kind of FAQ page. Need some names from people involved in your school to get the ball rolling.

Zainab: Ipanels coming up, please respond to email!

Andy: Hearing runthrough went well, hearings will now be coming down the pipeline.

Ryan: New nursing school rep! Cav Daily might be publishing a Chegg article

## Subcommittee Reports:

P&P: None this week

FAC: First FAC meeting with support officers went well.

Data Project: None

## Representative Updates

ARCH

BATT

CLAS

COMM

EDU

GBUS

MED

GSAS

NURS

SEAS

LAW:

SCPS

## Topics:

-IR Conversation

Should the IR be admissible as evidence in a trial? (Student has taken IR, comes back, accused of an honor offense, goes to trial)

Abdullah: Yes it should

Zoe: No for two reasons....

Caitlin: No

Andy: No, seems more probative and prejudicial towards the student.

Vivienne: Yes, should be. Personal feeling is that if you have taken an IR before you have probably done it again.

TCA: The student can, but we should not encourage it. Fundamentally prejudicial.

Madhav: P&P discussed allowing students if they really want to. Against it for the most part.

Zoe: Could allow for students if they want to, but should generally say no

Caitlin: Could allow for students if they want to.

Vivienne:

Chris: Asked about what we do with records post IR? Would we even have them?

Confidentiality concerns about that. What would this assess, would it be helpful?

Andy: Currently is dealt with through the pre hearing panel.

-IR of joint cases Conversation

Madhav:

... woops missed this

Zainab: Can come through reporter submitted evidence. Professor has something about amends left in their files

Chris: Confidentiality issues. Could provide a lot of confusion for panels.

TCA: sees possible confidentiality issues. Possibly the student can bring it in, but not have the reporter.