Exec Updates
Isabelle: Ordering Common Read copies! Pls fill out form if you haven’t
Madhav: Creating some kind of FAQ page. Need some names from people involved in your school to get the ball rolling.
Zainab: Ipanels coming up, please respond to email!
Andy: Hearing runthrough went well, hearings will now be coming down the pipeline.
Ryan: New nursing school rep! Cav Daily might be publishing a Chegg article

Subcommittee Reports:
P&P: None this week
FAC: First FAC meeting with support officers went well.
Data Project: None
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Topics:
-IR Conversation
Should the IR be admissible as evidence in a trial? (Student has taken IR, comes back, accused of an honor offense, goes to trial)
Abdullah: Yes it should
Zoe: No for two reasons…..
Caitlin: No
Andy: No, seems more probative and prejudicial towards the student.
Vivienne: Yes, should be. Personal feeling is that if you have taken an IR before you have probably done it again.
TCA: The student can, but we should not encourage it. Fundamentally prejudicial.
Madhav: P&P discussed allowing students if they really want to. Against it for the most part.
Zoe: Could allow for students if they want to, but should generally say no
Caitlin: Could allow for students if they want to.
Vivienne:
Chris: Asked about what we do with records post IR? Would we even have them? Confidentiality concerns about that. What would this assess, would it be helpful?
Andy: Currently is dealt with through the pre hearing panel.

-IR of joint cases Conversation
Madhav:
… woops missed this
Zainab: Can come through reporter submitted evidence. Professor has something about amends left in their files
Chris: Confidentiality issues. Could provide a lot of confusion for panels.
TCA: sees possible confidentiality issues. Possibly the student can bring it in, but not have the reporter.