

Meeting called to order 2004

Community input: none

Officer reports

- Lucy – no new updates. Thanks for referring to co-sponsorship page. Numerous requests in past few weeks
- MB – COLA/ENGR sign ups sent out to SOs to staff. If committee wants to staff let MB know. If looking to do first year classes please send materials/contact info to MB so she can keep in one place for next VCE. Second – dates/places/times locked down for popular assembly:
 - Q&A 1600-1800 on 11/6 on south lawn
 - Thursday debate in Hotel C/Jeff Hall 1800-2000 (setup at 1700), brainstorm topics will live stream
 - Friday faculty dinner – send one or two faculty members to MB who think would be good person to have there to talk about honor (who could be mentors) and some that could be new faculty
 - Online push Saturday
 - Committee meeting Sunday do open invite, will live stream
- Sally – no new updates
- Sprat – need to nail down panel for 10/13 (mixed) and 10/27 (all committee). Joint case that was accused last week is going to hearing – Lillie will chair (10/20), please let Sprat know if you can staff any of these.
- Lillie – thanks for those who helped with selections, new SOs have been selected:
 - 15 educators
 - 38 counsel
 - 32 advisors
 - total 13 grad (2 med 7 law 4 darden)
 - New nursing rep – Catherine has been SO and will be joining committee
 - Data science has been approved. Lillie met with SDS president, writing constitution, will pick new reps to join soon

Working Groups

- P&P – no updates
- FAC – chem, CS, SDS chosen for focus, identifying former FAC members to bring together, one-pager draft to exec this week
- Joint Cases – first meeting 9/30, let Elizabeth know if you're interested in this group

ARCH – None

BATT – meeting with new dean in sept/oct, BGC met this past week, working on batten grad specific mixer/field day between batten grad students and comm grad students

CLAS – meeting with faculty postponed

COMM – went into new blocks this past week, no new updates

GBUS – 4 new Darden SO's, awesome according to Elizabeth. Bill and Elizabeth will refocus on getting in front of faculty but have not started

EDUC – Ed council meeting wanted summary of frequency and relationship b/t curry and honor, talked about honor, cups and conversation to be scheduled during PA, how to get more involvement, new undergrad majors could bring in additional people. Also had faculty council meeting, really good questions, want to send out questionnaire to all faculty

GSAS – no new report

LAW – 7 new SO's from law

MED – No new report. Peyton got to SMED's student government meeting. Big change in how rotations work, ¼ of class will be sent out to sent to Inova, going to figure out how honor will look for this geographically separated group. Class of 2023 is the first one that will be affected by this.

NURS – New rep Catherine. NSC meeting tomorrow. Jae is talking to dean RE: diversity event and how she sees honor in SON.

SCPS – Nothing new

ENGR – First year talks staffed. Kalechi reached out to CS didn't get response, having open honor casual conversation this week at the CDE (center for diversity in engineering?)

No Old Business

New Business

1. Amendment and Housekeeping. Committee open to discuss as if it would pass tonight (not postdated)
 - Stearns – really likes it, helps us move quicker. One concern: near end clause for exec to notify committee to confirm that they're on same page b/t committee and exec.
 - Harper had similar input – put something in writing of having a policy that there's an official way to do this.
 - MB – Question: what are we calling redundancy? Would it be useful to put language in defining what redundancy is? Doesn't think this is the same as basic grammar issues.
 - Stephen – would include diction in that category as well
 - Lillie – wants more clarity in terms of what we want RE: notification to committee. Is public comment period.
 - Sprat – is 24 hours reasonable? Several people agree
 - Lillie – will take this back to add that in and will bring back in next week
2. Non-degree seeking
 - Lillie – This started last semester.
 - Sterans – “Accordingly the committee may impose...may take action that modifies...” is a concern. That sentence should be more specifically defined. This seems broad and open ended.
 - Lillie – Idea is this is a proxy for what we do for degree seeking students.
 - Stearns – Need clearer language
 - Lillie – Is current language not sufficient/specific enough as it pertains to
 - Lucian – “In certain cases” and “may be deemed” are problematic

- SP – Main question is at what point is this decision being made and who is it being made by?
- Lillie – I think it would be at point of report and generally by exec
- SP – Should we then clarify that?
- Lucian – Is that mean the default that they're all reviewed?
- MB – It would only be
- Kim – If the language is as is it seems default is that they are NOT considered
- Stearns – That clause (referring back to his previous point) seems similar enough
- Harp – Modified is geared more towards a suspension
- Stearns – This answers his question
- SP – Why are we using the word proceedings? Shouldn't this be "procedures?" because a proceeding is
- Lillie – Degree revocation is a proceeding
- Evan – Clarified that this is only the case if they've already graduated
- Peyton – Do we need to be determining which non-degree are falling under this?
- Lucian – We currently specify degree, which is the logic behind.
- Jake – Do we take reports from non-degree students?
- Evan – We've had 1 or 2 in the past few years
- Lillie – Summarizing Lucian's point that the current
- MB – What's the logic of having the current wishy washy language "in certain cases" "may be deemed", can we remove "in certain cases" and change it to "will generally be deemed"? This still gives exec the discretion but keeps the door closed to any and every argument
- SSG – Are we going to clarify that its going to be deemed by exec?
- Lucy – We've already clarified this in other points in the bylaws
- Lillie – Counterpoint would be that this would only be a specific complaint
- SP – I think it shroud be clear that this is a decision for exec, otherwise it could be unclear and could be litigated by the student.
- Lillie – If we change it to MB's language, would you (SP) want a clause for if someone contests this, exec has a final decision.
- SP – Yes
- Sprat – This may be overly semantics, but may generally be could be too heavy, this is backing ourselves into a corner
- Stearns – I feel like this could be resolved by "may be deemed" but keeping the clause of exec being the final decider, this covers both bases
- MB – Worry with that is as LM says the feeling of the language is more likely they're not considered. Worry that Sprat's/Stearns language opens to every single student that may fall under this coming up w/ some reason that they don't and then having to fight this out on exec every time when the party line is we adjudicate them the same.
- Evan – To clarify, in normal bylaws (p3): questions concerning jurisdiction are VCI in consultation with exec so this somewhat allys Stephen's point

- SP – I think this does, I would rather have the language be consisted with jurisdictional paragraph so add that to this section, otherwise I can see this giving rise to significance argument via backdoor. In other words “this isn’t really a university class” Don’t know if this would be persuasive but this would be an issue, would be better w/ jurisdictional clause in bylaws.
- MB – May brings up more problems
- Sally – But giving exec decision
- Harper – I think what we’re getting at is they’re generally assumed to be university students. If we add a clause of that (Students are presumed to be university students)
- Sprat – Generally is specific but also not specific enough
- MB – Right we’d have to clarify the exceptions we’re talking about
- Lillie – Summarizing: strike “in certain cases” and instead of “may be deemed” then “presumed to be university students” then jurisdictional language. Will revamp the language and send out

Community Input

- Question about exchange student status.
- Lillie – even exchange students are given orientation to honor

Reminder of social gathering at 37W for new SO’s

Meeting adjourned 2051